

11/14/18
14:05Vermont State Police, Headquarters
LAW Incident Table:20329
Page: 1

Incident

Incident Number 13A102677 Nature Agency Assist
 Case Number Image
 Address ✓ 2777 ST GEORGE RD
 City Williston State VT ZIP 05495
 Area 0417 WILLISTON Contact 271

Complainant

Numbr
 Last Fst Mid
 DOB SSN Adr
 Race Sx Tel Cty ST ZIP

Details

Offense/Statute ASST
 Reported ASST Observed ASST
 Circumstances LT11
 Rspndg Officers Burnham, Lance
 Rspnsbl Officer Burnham, Lance Agency SPA1 CAD Call ID 5312259
 Received By Taptick, Michael Last RadLog
 How Received O Officer Report Clearance RBL Reviewed by Lt/Capt/C
 When Reported 09:56:17 07/11/13 Disposition ACT Disp Date 07/11/13
 Occurrd between 00:00:00 01/01/07 Judicial Sts
 and 09:56:12 07/11/13 Supervisor thango
 MO

Narrative

Narrative (See below)
 Supplement

INVOLVEMENTS:

Type	Record #	Date	Description	Relationship
NM	392651	07/15/13	[REDACTED]	POI
NM	777827	07/15/13	[REDACTED]	POI
CA	5312259	07/11/13	09:56 07/11/13 Agency Assist	*Initiating Call

LAW Incident Offenses Detail:

Seq Code	Offense and Statute Codes	Amount
	Statute Code	
1 ASST Agency Assist		0.00

LAW Incident Circumstances:

Contributing Circumstances

Seq	Code	Comments
1	LT11	Government/Public Bldg

LAW Incident Responders Detail

Responding Officers

Seq	Name	Unit
1	Burnham, Lance	271

Narrative:

Vermont State Police Investigation Narrative

Offense/Case #: 13A102677

Date/Time Complaint Received: 07/10/2013

Date/Time of Crime: Unknown exact date. Occurred between 2007-Pres

Victim Information:

[REDACTED] § 317(c)(5)(A)(iii), (c)(7)
[REDACTED] § 317(c)(5)(A)(iii), (c)(7)
VT § 317(c)(5)(A)(iii), (c)(7)
[REDACTED] § 317(c)(5)(A)(iii), (c)(7)
[REDACTED] § 317(c)(5)(A)(iii), (c)(7)

Complainant: Same

Description of Scene: Unknown

Accused Information:

[REDACTED] § 317(c)(5)(A)(iii), (c)(7)
[REDACTED] § 317(c)(5)(A)(iii), (c)(7)
VT § 317(c)(5)(A)(iii), (c)(7)

Modus Operandi: Victim alleging numerous sexual assaults over a period of five years.

List of Evidence: 1) Interview with alleged Victim [REDACTED]

Narrative:

On July 10, 2013, I was contacted by Detective Lt. Hango regarding conducting an interview with a victim who was alleging a sexual assault. Lt. Hango advised the victim was an active member of the military and was not seeking an investigation of State statutes. The victim had made the report to the military who was engaging in a military investigation and would seek to keep the case under the military code.

I was advised that per military policy they had to make a report to the local authorities and they would then actively pursue their investigation.

On July 11, 2013, at approximately 0900 hours, I met with [REDACTED] and the military victim's advocate Lt. Col. [REDACTED]. I met with them in private and [REDACTED] made more than one comment that [REDACTED] did not want to provide me with a statement unless it was necessary and that [REDACTED] wanted to keep the investigation within the military. [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] only wanted to know what the statute of limitations was on the crime that occurred.

I explained to [REDACTED] that without knowing what the crime was I would be unable to answer [REDACTED] question. [REDACTED] provided me with a military Victim Reporting Preference Statement indicating by [REDACTED] signature that [REDACTED] did not want State investigations and only military actions. I explained that based on [REDACTED] wishes

if [REDACTED] did not want a state investigation we would not conduct one.

Lt. Col. [REDACTED] asked [REDACTED] to tell me briefly what happened so I could provide [REDACTED] with the most accurate Statute of Limitations.

[REDACTED] was very emotional and started to tear up. [REDACTED] advised approximately five years ago [REDACTED] was with [REDACTED] direct supervisor who was a [REDACTED] in the military. [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] was an enlisted member and held the position of assistant [REDACTED], and reported directly to the [REDACTED] who was an officer. [REDACTED] explained [REDACTED] was driving with the [REDACTED], who decided to stop at the store and get a six pack of beer after a day of training. [REDACTED] continued and stated they were driving on back roads when [REDACTED] pulled to the side of the road and asked [REDACTED] to get out and they could talk by the road in a wooded area. [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] did not know where [REDACTED] was and had never been there before. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] sat in the wood and drank a beer. [REDACTED] witnessed [REDACTED] open another beer and [REDACTED] happened to look away. When [REDACTED] turned back [REDACTED] noticed that [REDACTED] had stood up and unzipped [REDACTED] pants exposing [REDACTED] and asked for [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] advised [REDACTED] was shocked and feared losing [REDACTED] job if [REDACTED] did not comply. [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] performed [REDACTED] on [REDACTED] out of fear and it was not consensual. I asked [REDACTED] what [REDACTED] made the incident stop and [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] had [REDACTED].

██████████ continued and stated this had occurred numerous times and led to ██████████ having sexual intercourse with ██████████ on a number of incidents. ██████████ explained it was ██████████, ██████████ contact as well as ██████████ contact. ██████████ explained this always happened when ██████████ was intoxicated and had occurred both in and outside of Vermont. (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (C), (b) (5) (D), (b) (5) (C), (b) (5) (A), (b) (3) (C), (b) (3) (A)

I asked [REDACTED] if [REDACTED] ever suffered any injuries or if [REDACTED] had ever threatened [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] never did.

At the end of the interview, [REDACTED] again stated [REDACTED] did not want a state investigation. [REDACTED] advised [REDACTED] wanted this handled through the military and did not want to give a number of statements. I provided [REDACTED] with the statute of limitations and [REDACTED] thanks me for my time. A copy of the Military Victim Reporting Preference Statement is incorporated with this case file.

Summary:

This case will remain active however no criminal investigation will be conducted based on the wishes of the victim.